



Paper 12

DAVID S. BROWE  
26250 SCHOONER DRIVE  
NEW LENOX IL 60451

**COPY MAILED**

**MAR 06 2006**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
David S. Browe  
Application No. 10/073,582  
Filed: February 12, 2002  
Title of Invention: **RENEWABLE STORED  
ENERGY POWER GENERATING  
APPARATUS**

**ON PETITION**

This is a decision on the petition, filed November 2, 2005 under 37 CFR 1.137(b), to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any further petition to revive the above-identified application must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(b) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Petition under 37 CFR 1.137." This is **not** final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the final Office Action mailed May 14, 2003. An response filed June 19, 2003 was considered and the applicant was advised in an advisory action mailed July 15, 2003 that the response did not place the application in condition for allowance. No timely response having been filed thereafter, this application became abandoned. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed November 18, 2003.

A grantable petition to revive an abandoned application under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply (unless previously filed), which may be met by the filing of a continuing application in a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, but must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof in an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof;

(2) the petition fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(l);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) a terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) in a design application, a utility application filed before June 8, 1995, or a plant application filed before June 8, 1995.

The petition does not satisfy requirement (1) above.

The application became abandoned for failure to file a response within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final rejection of May 13, 2003, within the time period for response. The only proper reply to a final Office action is an amendment placing the application in *prima facie* condition for allowance, a Notice of Appeal accompanied by the requisite fee, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) accompanied by a proper submission, or a continuing application.


No additional response was submitted with the petition, just the petition and the petition fee. Petitioner must submit a proper reply to the final Office action mailed on May 13, 2003, with any renewed petition. **Petitioner should note that submission of any renewed petition without the required reply will be construed as intentional delay.**

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:      Mail Stop Petitions  
                 Commissioner for Patents  
                 P.O. Box 1450  
                 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

By FAX:      (571) 273-8300  
                 ATTN: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.



Patricia Faison-Ball  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions